

EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc.

DG 10-041

Integrated Resource Plan

Staff Responses to ENGI Set 1

ORIGINAL	
N.H.P.U.C. Case No.	10-10-041
Exhibit No.	#10
Witness	Panel #2
DO NOT REMOVE FROM FILE	

Date Received: October 15, 2010

Date of Response: December 28, 2010

Request: National Grid 1-4

Witness: George McCluskey

REQUEST:

Ref. p. 12, ll. 16-21. Please set forth all reasons that Mr. McCluskey believes that "if the Company elects to retain the excess capacity, customers will continue to pay these charges and forego the cost savings." Among other things, please provide any assumptions or other bases for Mr. McCluskey's conclusion that there will be cost savings if the Company does not "retain the excess capacity."

RESPONSE:

The basis for Mr. McCluskey's contention that "customers will continue to pay these charges and forego the cost savings" is provided on page 12, lines 14-16. The assumptions are that the Company expects to have capacity in excess of design-day demand throughout the planning period and that some of the firm contracts have charges that cannot be avoided through under utilization.